

United States Paters and Trademark Office



52

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,613	12/17/2001	Patrick Baudisch	D/A1188	5897
7590 . 10/05/2004			EXAMINER	
Patent Documentation Center			BLACKMAN, ANTHONY J	
Xerox Corporation Xerox Square 20th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 Clinton Ave., S. Rochester, NY 14644			2676	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/015,613	BAUDISCH, PATRICK	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		ANTHONY J BLACKMAN	2676	
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the model of the period for reply within the set or extended period property received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 f this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply viaximum statutory period will do for reply will, by statute, o e months after the mailing o	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is in concluded in accordance with the 	2b)⊠ This a ondition for allowand	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are object 8) Claim(s) are subject Application Papers 9) The specification is objected	is/are withdraw d. ed to. o restriction and/or to by the Examiner	election requirement.		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	any objection to the dincluding the correction	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
3. Copies of the certified application from the Ir	ne of: priority documents priority documents copies of the priori sternational Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applicat ty documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/20/02.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/015,613

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 9/20/02 is being considered by the examiner.

Specification

2. Claim 27 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 27 is not linked to an independent claim. Appropriate action is required with the next response by applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 11, 13, 16-18, 20, 22 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by KIDNEY et al, US Patent No. 4,984,279.
- 5. As per claim 1, 11 and 22, KIDNEY et al meet the following limitations,

Art Unit: 2676

- a) first display area having pixels of a first pixel size and a first boundary (see col. 7, II. 3-17, figure 1, col. 6, II. 29-col. 7, II.17),
- b) second display area having pixels of a second pixel size, wherein the second pixel size is different from the first pixel size, and a second boundary (figure 1, col. 6, II. 29-col. 7, II.17), and
- c) the first and second display areas being so constructed and arranged such that an image displayed on at least a portion of the first and second display areas appears to be substantially continuous to a viewer situated to view the image (see col. 7, II. 3-17, figure 1, col. 6, II. 29-col. 7, II.17).
- 6. As per claim 4, KIDNEY et al meet limitations of claim 1, including, wherein the first and second boundaries are at least partially contiguous (see col. 7, II. 3-17, figure 1, col. 6, II. 29-col. 7, II.17).
- 7. As per claim 5, 1, including, wherein one display area is adjacent to another display area (see col. 7, II. 3-17, figure 1, col. 6, II. 29-col. 7, II.17).
- 8. As per claim 7, Kidney et al meet limitations of claim 1, including, further comprising a third display area having pixels of a third pixel size, wherein the third pixel size is different from at least one of the first and the second size, and a third boundary. (Kidney et al meet limitations of claim 1, including).

Art Unit: 2676

- 9. As per claim 16, Kidney et al meet limitations of claim 11, including, wherein there are 2 display areas (see col. 7, II. 3-17, figure 1, col. 6, II. 29-col. 7, II.17).
- As per claim 17, Kidney et al meet limitations of claim 11, including, wherein there are three display areas, a first display area, a second display area, and a third display area (see col. 7, II. 3-17, figure 1, col. 6, II. 29-col. 7, II.17).
- 11. As per claim 18, Kidney et al meet limitations of claim 11, including, wherein there are 5 display areas (see col. 7, II. 3-17, figure 1, col. 6, II. 29-col. 7, II.17).
- 12. As per claim 25, Kidney et al meet limitations of claim 22, including, wherein one display area is adjacent to another display area (see col. 7, II. 3-17, figure 1, col. 6, II. 29-col. 7, II.17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 2-3, 12-13 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KIDNEY et al , US Patent No. 4,984,279 in view of MURPHY et al, US Patent No. 6,282,362.

Art Unit: 2676

- 15. As per claims 2, 12 and 23, KIDNEY et al meet limitations of claims 1, 11 and 22, however, the display including digital means lacks one display area [that] comprises an LCD display, found in MURPHY et al (col. 10ll. 21-44 and figure 1), It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use technologically updated and improved "...invention [that] relates to a method and system for capturing, storing and digital image data of MURPHY et al to modify the digitized map data and display means of KIDNEY et al because MURPHY et al provides detailed image processings (col. 6, II.31-36) in a digital processing framework.
- 16. As per claims 3, 13 and 24, KIDNEY et al meet limitations of claims 1, 11 and 22, however, lacks the following, "... wherein one display area comprises a projection surface (col. 6, II. 31-37 and col. 7, II. 48-57)". It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use technologically updated and improved "...invention [that] relates to a method and system for capturing, storing and digital image data of MURPHY et al to modify the digitized map data and display means of KIDNEY et al because MURPHY et al provides detailed image processings (col. 6, II.31-36) in a digital processing framework.
- 17. Claims 6, 8-10, 14-15, 18, 20-21 and 25-26 Claims 2-3, 12-13 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KIDNEY et al , US Patent No. 4,984,279.

Art Unit: 2676

- 18. As per claim 6, KIDNEY et al meet limitations of claim 1, even though KIDNEY et al does not expressly teach wherein the first display area is surrounded by the second display area, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to manipulate the overlay techniques (col. 3, II. 20-31 and col. 7, II. 3-17) by selecting among the pixel areas by skilled operators.
- 19. As per claim 8, KIDNEY et al meet limitations of claim 1, even though KIDNEY et al does not expressly teach wherein the first display area surrounds the second and third display areas, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to manipulate the overlay techniques (col. 3, II. 20-31 and col. 7, II. 3-17) by selecting among the pixel areas by skilled operators.
- 20. As per claim 8, KIDNEY et al meet limitations of claim 8, even though KIDNEY et al does not expressly teach wherein the second display surrounds the third display area, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to manipulate the overlay techniques (col. 3, II. 20-31 and col. 7, II. 3-17) by selecting among the pixel areas by skilled operators.
- 21. As per claim 14, KIDNEY et al meet limitations of claim 11, even though KIDNEY et al does not expressly teach wherein at least one display area is surrounded by another display area, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time

Page 6

Art Unit: 2676

of the invention to manipulate the overlay techniques (col. 3, II. 20-31 and col. 7, II. 3-17) by selecting among the pixel areas by skilled operators.

Page 7

- 22. As per claim 15, KIDNEY et al meet limitations of claim 14, even though KIDNEY et al does not expressly teach wherein at least two display area are surrounded by another display area, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to manipulate the overlay techniques (col. 3, II. 20-31 and col. 7, II. 3-17) by selecting among the pixel areas by skilled operators.
- 23. As per claim 18, KIDNEY et al meet limitations of claim 11, even though KIDNEY et al does not expressly teach wherein there are 5 display areas, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to manipulate the overlay techniques (col. 3, II. 20-31 and col. 7, II. 3-17) by selecting among the pixel areas by skilled operators.
- 24. As per claim 21, KIDNEY et al meet limitations of claim 16, even though KIDNEY et al does not expressly teach wherein a portion of the first display area is interposed between the second and third display areas, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to manipulate the overlay techniques (col. 3, II. 20-31 and col. 7, II. 3-17) by selecting among the pixel areas by skilled operators.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2676

25. As per claim 26, KIDNEY et al meet limitations of claim 22, even though KIDNEY et al does not expressly teach wherein one display area is surrounded by another display area, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to manipulate the overlay techniques (col. 3, II. 20-31 and col. 7, II. 3-17) by selecting among the pixel areas by skilled operators.

26. As per claim 27, KIDNEY et al meet limitations of claim 22 (as noted, examiner interprets claim 27 dependency from claim 22), even though KIDNEY et al does not expressly teach wherein the first display area surrounds the second display area, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to manipulate the overlay techniques (col. 3, II. 20-31 and col. 7, II. 3-17) by selecting among the pixel areas by skilled operators.

Conclusion

27. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. LUMELSKY et al, US Patent No. 6,088,045 disclose HDTV operation disclosing multiple display areas with different resolution and pixel size in figure 1 element 18.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY J BLACKMAN whose telephone number is 703-305-0833. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX SCHEDULE.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW BELLA can be reached on 703-308-6829. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANTHONY J BLACKMAN Examiner Art Unit 2676

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marchen (. Bella